PROCEEDINGS OF SCIENCE

Fermi Reveals New Light on Novae in Gamma rays

C. C. Cheung*
Naval Research Laboratory, USA
E-mail: Teddy.Cheung@nrl.navy.mil

P. Jean
IRAP & Université de Toulouse, France
E-mail: Pierre.Jean@irap.omp.eu

S. N. Shore
University of Pisa, INFN, Italy
E-mail: shore@df.unipi.it

J. E. Grove
Naval Research Laboratory, USA
E-mail: Eric.Grove@nrl.navy.mil

M. Leising
Clemson University, USA
E-mail: lmark@clemson.edu

on behalf of the *Fermi*-LAT Collaboration

Novae are now firmly established as a high-energy (>100 MeV) γ-ray source class by the *Fermi* Large Area Telescope (LAT). In symbiotic binary systems such as V407 Cyg 2010, there is a firm theoretical framework for the production of shock-accelerated particles in the nova ejecta from interactions with the dense wind of the red giant companion. Yet, the high-energy γ-ray emission detected in classical novae involving less evolved stellar companions cannot be explained in the same way and could instead be produced in internal shocks in the ejecta. We summarize the *Fermi*-LAT γ-ray observations of novae, highlighting the main properties that will guide further studies. Additionally, we report on the soft γ-ray ($\sim$ 0.1 MeV) continuum detection of the oxygen-neon type classical nova V382 Vel 1999 with the OSSE detector aboard the *Compton* Gamma Ray Observatory in light of its *Fermi*-era analog, V959 Mon 2012.

The 34th International Cosmic Ray Conference,
30 July - 6 August, 2015
The Hague, The Netherlands

*Speaker.

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike Licence.

arXiv:1605.01375v1 [astro-ph.HE] 4 May 2016

1. *Fermi* LAT Gamma-ray Novae Discoveries

Novae were not among the wide array of high-energy γ-ray (>100 MeV) source types considered prior to the launch of the *Fermi* Large Area Telescope (LAT) [3]. That changed when the symbiotic-like recurrent nova V407 Cyg 2010 explosion was discovered optically and identified with an independently detected *Fermi*-LAT transient source [28, 1, 6]. In retrospect, the idea that such symbiotic systems can be high-energy γ-ray emitters could have been anticipated as a product of shock-accelerated particles in the nova ejecta through interactions with the dense wind of the red giant companion as considered for RS Oph [39]. However, the later LAT detections of three classical novae (CNe) were truly unexpected [2] (see Figure 1) because they were produced in compact binary systems composed of a white dwarf and main sequence companion. The detected CNe also had different optical/ultraviolet properties, with V959 Mon identified as an explosion from an oxygen-neon (ONe) nova, and V1324 Sco and V339 Del appear to be carbon-oxygen (CO) novae.

Despite the compositional differences, the γ-ray light curves for the ensemble four systems appear similar, being brighter initially with most of the emission observed within ~ 2 weeks, and total detected durations of $17-27$ days (Figure 2) [2]. Because V959 Mon was discovered first by *Fermi*-LAT as a γ-ray transient in the Galactic plane [8], then identified as a nova ~ 2 months later following its optical discovery [18, 9, 7], a comparison of the optical and γ-ray light curves is not possible. For the other three systems (Figure 3; see [1] for V407 Cyg), the γ-ray peaks lagged the optical peaks (by up to ~ 6 days in the case of V339 Del), with the first significant LAT detection occurring before (V1324 Sco), after (V339 Del[1]) and on the same day (V407 Cyg) of the observed optical peak. V1324 Sco was unusual in that its optical activity began about 1-month prior to its first LAT detection on 15 June, having displayed an increase of 6 mag between June $1.77-3.33$ after an initial discovery of an enhanced optical flux in mid-May [40, 27].

The observed >100 MeV spectra of the four novae are also similar, with broad spectral peaks that cutoff at energies $\sim 1-4$ GeV and emission observed up to $\sim 6-10$ GeV (the highest energy extension was observed in V1324 Sco). Spectral fits with hadronic and leptonic models were indistinguishable (e.g., Figure 4 [left]). The available distance estimates to the novae of $\lesssim 4-5$ kpc indicate they are nearby, and the resultant range of >100 MeV luminosities and total emitted energies spanned a small range of $\sim (3-4)\times 10^{35}$ ergs s^{-1} and $\sim (6-7)\times 10^{41}$ ergs, respectively, with $\sim 2\times$ larger values for V1324 Sco. The V339 Del distance of 4.2 kpc in [2] was confirmed [32] and the 2.7 kpc distance for V407 Cyg is unchanged. For V959 Mon (distance of 3.6 kpc; [36]), adopting smaller inferred distances of ~ 1.5 to 2.2 kpc [26, 24] would translate to a γ-ray luminosity $\sim 5\times$ to $3\times$ smaller. As cautioned for V1324 Sco (see SOM of [2]), the adopted 4.5 kpc distance [20] could be underestimated due to dust and has a likely range of $4-8$ kpc, consistent with independent estimates [27, 17] so its luminosity value could be even higher. To what extent the γ-ray parameters (luminosities, spectra, and durations) of the LAT-detected novae are similar is not yet understood, but this can assuredly be addressed with future observations (§ 4).

2. *Compton* OSSE Continuum Detection of V382 Vel 1999

The *Fermi*-LAT >100 MeV classical novae detections motivated us to revisit previous obser-

[1] But note a 2.4σ detection on the day of the optical peak in a LAT 0.5 day binned light curve [2].



Figure 1: *Fermi*-LAT adaptively smoothed >100 MeV counts maps of the first four γ-ray detected novae, V407 Cyg 2010, V1324 Sco 2012, V959 Mon 2012, and V339 Del 2013. Each nova is placed at the map centers (marked with yellow 1° radius circles) and observed near bright diffuse Galactic γ-ray emission (V959 Mon is seen directly through the plane). This Figure is taken directly from [2].

vations of other novae from the *Compton* Gamma Ray Observatory (CGRO). In the case of the bright classical nova V382 Velorum 1999 (V382 Vel), that peaked at ∼2.5 mag about one-day after it was discovered May 22.4 UT [41], Oriented Scintillation Spectrometer Experiment (OSSE) [22] observations were obtained over 26 days beginning May 27. The primary objective was to search for nuclear line emission, which was not detected ([23]; see [19] for the flux limits for the lines at 0.478 MeV from ^{7}Be and 1.275 MeV from ^{22}Na). Instead, [23] found a 7.0σ continuum signal over the $0.05-0.3$ MeV energy range in the first 12 days of the OSSE observations (CGRO Viewing Period, VP 817.5), that could not at the time be unambiguously associated with the nova because of OSSE's large field of view ($3.8^\circ \times 11.4^\circ$). There was no significant detection in the subsequent 14-day observation interval (VP 819.5) that began June 8.

With the benefit of hindsight, these *Compton*-OSSE continuum observations of V382 Vel are not surprising considering it is an analogue of the *Fermi*-LAT detected ONe-type nova V959 Mon [36, 9]. Specifically, the OSSE soft γ-ray detection within the first $\sim$ 2 weeks after its optical peak



Figure 2: *Fermi*-LAT >100 MeV 1-day binned light curves of the the first four γ-ray detected novae (cf., Figure 1). Vertical bars indicate 1σ uncertainties for $> 3\sigma$ points (black) and 2-3σ (gray) significances, otherwise, 2σ upper limits are indicated with gray arrows. Start times (from top to bottom panels) of 2013 August 16, 2012 June 19, 2012 June 15, and 2010 March 10 were defined as the day of the first LAT detection (there was a 2.4σ detection of V339 Del in 0.5-day binned data from August 16.5-17.0; see [2]). The epochs of the observed optical peaks are marked with a blue diamond in each panel except for V959 Mon, where the optical peak was unobserved due to proximity to the Sun. This Figure is taken directly from [2].

and its null-detection in the weeks that followed, is similar to the >100 MeV light curves of the *Fermi*-LAT detected novae (Figure 2), strongly suggesting the positive detection is not a result of source confusion.

The OSSE $0.05-0.3$ MeV spectrum from VP 817.5 (encompassing the interval $4-16$ days after optical peak) that we can now associate with V382 Vel (no significant detection was obtained from $0.3-10$ MeV) is compared to the average *Fermi*-LAT >100 MeV spectrum of V959 Mon 2012 up to 22-days after its first significant 1-day LAT detection (Figure 4 [right]). Because these novae are similarly distant ($1.7-2.5$ kpc for V382 Vel, [16, 35]; see § 1 for V959 Mon), this can be considered an early time composite spectrum of an ONe-type nova. Interestingly, a single *BeppoSAX* observation of V382 Vel obtained 15 days after optical maximum resulted in a $0.1-10$ keV detection and $15-60$ keV upper limit (2σ) $< 6.7\times10^{-12}$ erg cm^{-2} s^{-1} attributable to a $kT \approx 6$ keV plasma [29]. It is difficult to gauge how the *BeppoSAX* data connect to the OSSE spectrum because it probed a single epoch coinciding with the end of the *Compton* VP (perhaps suggesting fading of the $\sim$0.1 MeV emission), but such early X-ray observations can similarly probe internal shocked emission in the nova ejecta (see [25]).



Figure 3: *Fermi*-LAT >100 MeV 1-day binned light curves (top panels) of V1324 Sco and V339 Del as shown in Figure 2, with optical light curves (bottom panels) over the same time interval. For V1324 Sco, the optical data are those compiled by [27] predominantly from the AAVSO. The V339 Del figure is taken directly from [2] where the optical data are from the AAVSO in 0.25 day bins and includes the additional unfiltered discovery magnitude (black square) [21]; note the small second peak ∼2 days after the first.

3. New *Fermi* LAT Gamma-ray Novae Detections

Since the first four γ-ray novae discoveries (§ 1), significant LAT detections of two more followed, V1369 Cen 2013 [10, 11] and Nova Sgr 2015 No. 2 [13, 14], as a result of *Fermi* target-of-opportunity (ToO) observations prompted by their optical discoveries [33, 34]. Both reach naked-eye brightness optically, but in contrast to the previous γ-ray detected novae, several subsequent optical peaks were observed up to $\sim 1-2$ months after their first optical peaks. The first significant 1-day γ-ray detections began $\sim$ 2 days after the first optical peaks, similar to that reported in V339 Del. The distances to these two novae are not yet known, but they are fainter in γ-rays on average than the previous LAT-detected novae, and are characterized by more sporadic low-significance 1-day detections extended over moderately longer durations (up to $\sim 1-2$ months), broadly mimicking the multi-peaked optical activity (Figure 5).

4. Future Perspectives

The γ-ray detections of V407 Cyg 2010 and the two CNe in 2012 resulted naturally from *Fermi*-LAT's all-sky γ-ray monitoring. They paved the way for the secure detections of fainter γ-ray fluxes from three naked-eye novae enabled by *Fermi* ToO observations following their optical discoveries by the amateur community. The detection rate of γ-ray novae is ~ 1 yr^{-1} over the first $\sim$7 yrs of the *Fermi* mission, consistent with the rate of Galactic novae at $\lesssim 4-5$ kpc distances, suggesting all novae are potential γ-ray sources [2]. The more recent detections may be revealing a wider diversity in γ-ray properties, being fainter and seemingly characterized by longer total durations. Further serendipitous and targeted γ-ray detections through the continued *Fermi* mission, as well as studies of non-LAT detected novae (e.g., [7]) could reveal the true range of γ-ray prop-



Figure 4: [left] *Fermi*-LAT >100 MeV average γ-ray spectrum of the classical nova V959 Mon 2012 over 22 days of data. Figure taken directly from [2]. Vertical bars indicate 1σ uncertainties for data points with significances $> 2\sigma$, and arrows indicate 2σ limits and the best-fit hadronic (from π^0-decay) and leptonic (from inverse Compton and lower-level bremsstrahlung emission) model curves are overlaid. See [2] for details and for the plots for the other two LAT detected classical novae (V1324 Sco, V339 Del) and for the symbiotic nova V407 Cyg (see [1] as well). [right] A 'composite' early-time γ-ray spectrum of an ONe-type classical nova consisting of the *Compton* OSSE $0.05-0.3$ MeV spectrum from V382 Vel 1999 and the *Fermi*-LAT >100 MeV spectrum of V959 Mon 2012 from the left panel.

erties. Coordinated γ-ray and multi-wavelength observations can test scenarios for how and where the putative shocks are generated (e.g., internal shocks or strong turbulence driven in the ejecta [2], or in wind-wind interactions [15]) and point to the underlying γ-ray emission mechanism.

Fermi-LAT observations of the recurrent nova V745 Sco 2014 [37] indicated low significance, $2-3\sigma$ γ-ray emission on February 6 and 7, coincident with its optical outburst detected on February 6.694 [12], suggesting a connection between these events. Though not definitive, the short γ-ray duration would imply a'fast' analogue of V407 Cyg [4], with the ($\sim 3\times$) larger distance of V745 Sco helping to explain the $5\times$ fainter γ-ray peak flux observed. Such systems demonstrate the important role of the companions in the γ-ray production that has yet been fully explored in CNe. Of the known recurrent novae, one can anticipate future outbursts [31] with the immediate ones to watch being perhaps V3890 Sgr (expected in 2015 with some great uncertainty) and U Sco (2020 ± 2 years, again during the *Fermi*-era after its 2010 eruption).

In light of the *Fermi*-LAT discovery that classical novae can be bright continuum emitters at >100 MeV, the possibility that these spectra extend down to lower, ~ 0.1 MeV energies is supported by the revisited *Compton*/OSSE observations of V382 Vel 1999. This should motivate early observations with INTEGRAL to search for both soft γ-ray continuum, in addition to nuclear lines, in future *Fermi*-novae. Current facilities that can probe the hard X-ray band like *Suzaku*, NuSTAR, and the upcoming ASTRO-H mission [38] will be invaluable, as well as future MeV missions (e.g., AstroMeV; http://astromev.in2p3.fr). Extrapolating their LAT spectra to higher energies suggest novae could be detected by CTA (see e.g., [5] for V407 Cyg), and can give crucial hints as to the emission mechanism. Simultaneity of such observations will be key, so perhaps then the most im-



Figure 5: Preliminary *Fermi*-LAT >100 MeV γ-ray light curves (top panels) of V1369 Cen (left) and Nova Sgr 2015 No. 2 (right). Vertical bars indicate 1σ uncertainties for data points with TS > 4 (black), while gray arrows indicate 2σ upper limits when TS < 4. In each case, the γ-ray light curve is compared to the AAVSO Visual light curve in 0.25 day bins for the same time interval (bottom panels).

portant recurrent novae to anticipate for the *Fermi* mission in the CTA-era are RS Oph (2021? Its last explosion in 2006 did not have γ-ray coverage) and T CrB (2026?), a very nearby ~ 0.8 kpc system that could produce a remarkably bright MeV-GeV-TeV γ-ray source, and a transient neutrino signal expected in the hadronic scenario [30] potentially detectable at even higher energies.

Acknowledgments

The *Fermi*-LAT Collaboration acknowledges support for LAT development, operation and data analysis from NASA and DOE (United States), CEA/Irfu and IN2P3/CNRS (France), ASI and INFN (Italy), MEXT, KEK, and JAXA (Japan), and the K.A. Wallenberg Foundation, the Swedish Research Council and the National Space Board (Sweden). Science analysis support in the operations phase from INAF (Italy) and CNES (France) is also gratefully acknowledged.

C.C.C. was supported at NRL by a Karles' Fellowship and by NASA through Guest Investigator programs 12-FERMI12-0026 and 13-FERMI13-0008.

We acknowledge with thanks the variable star observations from the AAVSO International Database contributed by observers worldwide and used in this research, and the dedicated observers of the Astronomical Ring for Access to Spectroscopy group (http://www.astrosurf.com/aras/).

References

[1] A. A. Abdo, M. Ackermann, M. Ajello, *et al. Science*, **329** (2010) 817.

[2] M. Ackermann, M. Ajello, A. Albert, *et al. Science*, **345** (2014) 554.

[3] W. B. Atwood, A. A. Abdo, M. Ackermann, *et al. Astrophys. J.* **697** (2009) 1071.

[4] D. P. K. Banerjee, V. Joshi, V. Venkataraman, *et al. Astrophys. J. Lett.* 785 (2014) L11.

[5] W. Bednarek, *Astropart. Phys.* **43** (2013) 81.

[6] C. C. Cheung, D. Donato, E. Wallace, *et al. The Astronomer's Telegram*, **2487** (2010) 1.

[7] C. C. Cheung, *Fermi*-LAT collaboration, in *4th Fermi Symposium*, eConf **C121028** (2012) 106.

[8] C. C. Cheung, E. Hays, T. Venters, D. Donato, R. H. D. Corbet, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, **4224** (2012) 1.

[9] C. C. Cheung, S. N. Shore, I. De Gennaro Aquino, *et al. The Astronomer's Telegram*, **4310** (2012) 1.

[10] C. C. Cheung, P. Jean, S. N. Shore, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, **5649** (2013) 1.

[11] C. C. Cheung, P. Jean, S. N. Shore, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, **5653** (2013) 1.

[12] C. C. Cheung, P. Jean, S. N. Shore, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, **5879** (2014) 1.

[13] C. C. Cheung, P. Jean, S. N. Shore, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, **7283** (2015) 1.

[14] C. C. Cheung, P. Jean, S. N. Shore, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, **7315** (2015) 1.

[15] L. Chomiuk, J. D. Linford, J. Yang, *et al. Nature*, **514** (2014) 339.

[16] M. Della Valle, L. Pasquini, D. Daou, & R. E. Williams, *Astron. Astrophys.* **390** (2002) 155.

[17] T. Finzell, L. Chomiuk, U. Munari, & F. M. Walter, 2015, submitted; arXiv:1506.04953

[18] S. Fujikawa, IAU CBAT, No. 3202 (2012).

[19] M. Hernanz, in *Classical Novae*, M. F. Bode, A. Evans, Eds. Cambridge UP, 2nd Ed. (2008) 252.

[20] A. B. Hill, *Fermi*-LAT collaboration, in *4th Fermi Symposium*, eConf **C121028** (2012) 112.

[21] K. Itagaki, IAU CBAT, No. 3628 (2013).

[22] W. N. Johnson, R. L. Kinzer, J. D. Kurfess, *et al. Astrophys. J. Supp.* **86** (1993) 693.

[23] M. D. Leising, J. E. Grove, R. A. Kroeger, & J. D. Kurfess, in *5th Compton Symposium* (1999), A136.

[24] J. D. Linford, V. A. R. M. Ribeiro, L. Chomiuk, *et al. Astrophys. J.* **805** (2015) 136.

[25] K. Mukai, & M. Ishida, *Astrophys. J.* **551** (2001) 1024.

[26] U. Munari, S. Dallaporta, F. Castellani, *et al. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **435** (2013) 771.

[27] U. Munari, F. M. Walter, A. Henden, *et al. Information Bulletin on Variable Stars*, **6139** (2015) 1.

[28] K. Nishiyama, F. Kabashima, IAU CBAT, No. 2199 (2010).

[29] M. Orio, A. Parmar, R. Benjamin, *et al. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **326** (2001) L13.

[30] S. Razzaque, P. Jean, & O. Mena, *Phys. Rev. D*, **82** (2010) 123012.

[31] B. E. Schaefer, *Astrophys. J. Supp.* **187** (2010) 275.

[32] G. H. Schaefer, T. T. Brummelaar, D. R. Gies, *et al. Nature*, **515** (2014) 234.

[33] J. Seach, IAU CBAT, No. 3732 (2013).

[34] J. Seach, IAU CBAT, No. 4080 (2015).

[35] S. N. Shore, G. Schwarz, H. E. Bond, *et al. Astron. J.* **125** (2003) 1507.

[36] S. N. Shore, I. De Gennaro Aquino, G. J. Schwarz, *et al. Astron. Astrophys.* **553** (2013) A123.

[37] R. Stubbings, IAU CBAT, No. 3803 (2014).

[38] T. Takahashi, K. Mitsuda, R. Kelley, *et al. Proc. SPIE*, **8443** (2012) 84431Z.

[39] V. Tatischeff, & M. Hernanz, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **663** (2007) L101.

[40] R. M. Wagner, S. Dont, T. Bensby, *et al. The Astronomer's Telegram*, **4157** (2012) 1.

[41] P. Williams, A. C. Gilmore, *IAU Circ.* **7176** (1999) 1.